



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6767
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidative Response of Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle under Short Term Exposure to Mercuric Chloride

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Present manuscript version was improved, check language and style throughout the text i.e. - the abstract: Royle twigs were subjected HgCl₂..Add “to” before subjected). - Use ppm or mg/L, homogenate. - In the legend, fig mark should be mentioned before description, for example: Changes in (A) the total chlorophyll (A), (B) soluble protein (B) and (C) ascorbic acid (C)....Do the same for other fig captions. - The first sentence in conclusion should be shortened.	On behalf of all the authors, I would like to thank the Anonymous “Final Evaluator” for his/her positive comment about our revised MS and giving suggestions for the improvement of the paper. As per suggestions the following changes have been made in the text:- - In the Abstract, ‘to’ has been now incorporated before HgCl₂. - ‘ppm’ has now been replaced with ‘mg / L’ in the first line of abstract since this has been followed through out the text. -As per suggestions, in the legends of Fig. 1 and 2, the (A), (B) and (C) are now properly placed. -The first sentence of “conclusion” is now shortened in the revised MS.